

United States Patent and Trademark Office

	States Fatent and Fragemark Office	
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
	P.O. Box 1450	
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
	napur nenta may	

7606	5364	
EXAMINER		
BLUM, DAVID S		
UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2813		
	BLUM,	

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\mathcal{L}			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/720,812	KANG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
		David S. Blum	2813				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ss			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this comm DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe	ebruary 2006.					
·		action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	•			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8,13,14,21,22 and Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 9-12,15-20,23 and 24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-48 are subject to restriction and/or of	25-48 is/are withdrawn from	consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 November 2005</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ol	•	er.			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR	` '			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			102.			
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been red u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Sta	nge			
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum					
	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Application (F 10-13				

This action is in response to the election filed 2/23/06.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 9-12, 15-20 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pasch (US005821624A).

Pasch teaches the device of claims 9-12, 15-20 and 23-24 as follows.

Regarding claim 9, Pasch teaches a passive element (column 24 lines 39-41) between upper and lower dies (column 25 lines 22-24, substrate/interposer (spacer)/die stack, column 23 lines 7-8, substrate may be another die), and conductors attached to the passive element to at least one of the upper and lower dies (column 24 lines 53-58).

Regarding claim 10, adhesive layers are filled between the spacer (interposer) and the upper and lower dies (column 25 lines 22-24).

Regarding claim 11, the element may be a thin film (column 26 line 16, thin metal film, and as above, the thin film may also be a another passive device).

Regarding claim 12, the passive device is substantially thinner than the thickness of the spacer (figure 12A).

Regarding claim 15, the passive element may be electrically connected to the upper or lower dies using bumps (column 26 lines 10-16).

Regarding claim 16, the lower die may be attached to a substrate using an adhesive (column 25 lines 19-20).

Regarding claim 17, Pasch teaches a package substrate (column 23 lines 24-26), a plurality of stacked dies (figure 11B), a spacer assembly between the upper and lower dies having a passive element (column 24 lines 39-41) between upper and lower dies (column 25 lines 22-24, substrate/interposer (spacer)/die stack, column 23 lines 7-8, substrate may be another die), and conductors attached to the passive element to at least one of the upper and lower dies (column 24 lines 53-58).

Regarding claim 18, adhesive layers are filled between the spacer (interposer) and the upper and lower dies (column 25 lines 22-24).

Regarding claim 19, the element may be a thin film (column 26 line 16, thin metal film, and as above, the thin film may also be a another passive device).

Art Unit: 2813

Regarding claim 20, the passive device is substantially thinner than the thickness of the

spacer (figure 12A).

Regarding claim 23, the passive element may be electrically connected to the upper or

lower dies using bumps (column 26 lines 10-16).

Regarding claim 24, the lower die may be attached to a substrate using an adhesive

(column 25 lines 19-20).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 2/23/06 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

The applicant argues that passive elements may be an inductor and a capacitor. The

examiner does not argue with this statement, but as a passive element may be other

than a capacitor or an inductor, and a capacitor is not an inductor, it is proper to treat

the three as separate species. The applicant did not go on the record stating that the

three species are obvious variants, therefore the restriction is proper.

Art Unit: 2813

The applicant argues that Pasch does not place a passive element on a spacer between dies. However, Pasch places a passive device on an interposer (a spacer between dies) and this electronic device would need conductors to function.

The applicant argues that there are no stacked dies, however (column 25 lines 22-23) recite "the adhesive can also be used to keep the substrate/interposer/die stack under compression. Figure 11a shows a substrate with electrical elements (thus a die) and interposer, conductive element on the interposer, and a second die. This reads on the instant claims.

The applicant argues that Pasch does not disclose a conductor attached to at least one of the upper or lower dies. However, Pasch shows the conductor (1125a) connected to passive element a (1127a), and connected to a solder bump connected to a die. This reads on the instant claims.

The applicant argues that there is no stacked dies or passive element. However, as above, Pasch teaches a stacked die and a passive element.

The applicant repeats the above arguments toward claim 17. As above, the examiner asserts that Pasch reads on claim 17.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,812 Page 6

Art Unit: 2813

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David S. Blum whose telephone number is (571)-272-1687) and e-mail address is David.blum@USPTO.gov.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr., can be reached at (571)-272-1702. Our facsimile number all patent correspondence to be entered into an application is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,812

Art Unit: 2813

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David S. Blum

June 1, 2006